

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 HANNA V. CONGER,
10 Plaintiff,

Case No. C17-1270RSM

11
12 v.

MINUTE ORDER STRIKING MOTION

13 K&D FISHERIES LLC, *et al.*,
14 Defendants.
15
16

17 The following MINUTE ORDER is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable
18 Ricardo S. Martinez, Chief United States District Judge:

19
20 On October 15, 2017, Defendant K&D Fisheries LLC filed its Answer to the
21 Complaint in this matter. Dkt. #18. The next day, the same Defendant filed a Motion to
22 Dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
23 12(b)(2), or in the alternative, for improper venue pursuant to Rule 12(b)(3). Dkt. #19.
24

25 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b) sets out seven defenses that must be asserted in
26 a responsive pleading if one is required. The Rule further provides that a “motion asserting
27 any of these defenses **must be made before pleading if a responsive pleading is allowed.** .
28 . . Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 12(b) (emphasis added). The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are clear
29
30

1 that the defenses now raised by Defendant must be asserted in a motion filed prior to filing
2 the Answer to the Complaint. Defendant did not do so.

3 Accordingly, the Court STRIKES Defendant's pending Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. #19)
4 as untimely.
5

6 DATED this 17th day of October, 2017.

7 WILLIAM McCOOL, Clerk

8 By: /s/ Paula McNabb
9 Deputy Clerk
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30